

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING (RCW 34.05.320)

## CR-102 (7/22/01) Do NOT use for expedited rule making

1889			ruie making	
Agency: Department of Agriculture			X Original Notice	
X Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 04-06-074; or			Supplemental Notice	
Expedited Rule Making Proposed notice was filed as WSR; or		; or	to WSR	
Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4).			Continuance of WSR	
(a) Title of rule: (Describe Subject) WAC 16-250-155, Tonnage fee requirements, and WAC 16-252-155, Tonnage fee required				
Purpose: The purpose of the propose	d amondments is to incre	ace tonnage feeds for	commercial food and not	
Purpose: The purpose of the proposed amendments is to increase tonnage feeds for commercial feed and pet food/specialty pet food from nine cents per ton to twelve cents per ton.				
Other identifying information: None	our torre come pe			
(b) Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 15 chapter 25, Laws of 2003, 1 <sup>st</sup> Special Se 34.05 RCW	ssion (ESSB 5404) and chap	(ESSB 5404)		
(c) Summary: The department is proposing to increase the inspection fees in WAC 16-250-155 and WAC 16-252-155 in order				
to reduce a feed program funding deficit. The feed program is funded entirely from fees (registration fees, license fees and inspection fees). From January 1980 to the present, inspection fees have increased from eight cents/ton to nine cents/ton.				
The one-cent/ton increase occurred in 1996 and was used to support the feed program's share of the department's				
administrative costs. The 1996 one-cent/ton fee increase was not used to fund program activities. The three-cent/ton				
increase that the department is proposing will not completely address the feed program funding deficit, but it will give the				
department and its feed advisory committee sufficient time to address long-term program funding needs and solutions. Section 309(2) of chapter 25, Laws of 2003, 1 <sup>st</sup> Special Session (ESSB 5404) authorizes the department to propose a fee				
increase in excess of the Office of Financial Management (OFM) fiscal growth rate factor.  Reasons supporting proposal: Without the proposed increase, the department will be forced to curtail the services that it				
offers to the commercial feed and pet food/specialty pet food industries.				
·	. , ,			
(d) Name of Agency Personnel Responsible			Telephone	
1. DraftingTed Maxwell		gton St. SE, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor	(360) 902-2026	
2. Implementation Ted Maxwell		gton St. SE, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor	(360) 902-2026	
3. Enforcement Ted Maxwell	•	gton St. SE, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor	(360) 902-2026	
(e) Name of proponent (person or organizati	on): washington State De	partment of Agricultur	e ☐ Private ☐ Public	
			X Governmental	
(f) Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement and fiscal matters: None				
(g) Is rule necessary because of:	- V No If w	es, ATTACH COPY OF 1	TEVT	
Federal Law? Yes X No If yes, Federal Court Decision? Yes X No Citatio			IEAI	
State Court Decision?	, , , , ,			
(h) HEARING LOCATION:		Submit written comme	ents to:	
Natural Resources Bldg., Rm. 259		Laurie Mauerman		
1111 Washington St.		Washington State Department of Agriculture		
Olympia, WA		PO Box 42560 Olympia, WA 98504-2560		
		E-mail: Imauerman@a		
<b>-</b>		FAX (360) 902-2093 E	By 5:00 p.m., June 30, 2004	
Date: June 30, 2004 Time: 1:00 p.m.		DATE OF INTENDED	ADOPTION: July 6, 2004	
		CODE REVISER USE ONLY		
Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact Virginia Walsh by June 14, 2004				
		_		
TDD (360) 902-1996 or ( )				
NAME (TYPE OR PRINT)				
Bob Arrington				
SIGNATURE				
OIGIATUIL				
TITLE	DATE			
Assistant Director	May 19, 2004			

(j) Short explanation of rule, its purpose, and anticipated effects:
The purpose of the proposed amendments is to increase tonnage feeds for commercial feed and pet food/specialty pet food from nine cents per ton to twelve cents per ton in WAC 16-250-155 and WAC 16-252-155. The department is proposing to increase these inspection fees in order to reduce the feed program's funding deficit. The feed program is funded entirely from fees (registration fees, license fees and inspection fees). From January 1980 to the present, inspection fees have increased from eight cents/ton to nine cents/ton. The one-cent/ton increase occurred in 1996 and was used to support the feed program's share of the department's administrative costs. The 1996 one-cent/ton fee increase was not used to fund program activities. The three-cent/ton increase that the department is proposing will not completely address the feed program funding deficit, but it will give the department and its feed advisory committee sufficient time to address long-term program funding needs and solutions. Section 309(2) of chapter 25, Laws of 2003, 1 <sup>st</sup> Special Session (ESSB 5404) authorizes the department to propose a fee increase in excess of the Office of Financial Management (OFM) fiscal growth rate factor. Without the proposed increase, the department will be forced to curtail the services that it offers to the commercial feed and pet food/specialty pet food industries.
Does proposal change existing rules? X YES
The proposal increases the tonnage fees in WAC 16-250-155 and WAC 16-252-155 from nine cents per ton to twelve cents per ton.
(k) Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW?
☐ Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement.  A copy of the statement may be obtained by writing to:
telephoning: ( ) faxing: ( )
X No. Explain why no statement was prepared In order to mitigate any potential adverse economic impact of its proposed increase in commercial feed and pet food/specialty pet food tonnage fees, the department is delaying the effective date of the increases until January 1, 2005. In addition, since the department could
not determine the potential cost impact of its proposed tonnage fee increase, it conducted an economic impact survey. A survey, cover letter and a stamped envelope addressed to the department's Rules Coordinator was mailed (March 29, 2004) to all commercial feed licensees licensed by the department and pet food/specialty pet food registrants registered with the department (514 surveys). All licensees and registrants were given until April 26, 2004, to complete and return the survey. One survey was returned as "undeliverable". Respondents, representing a response rate of 27.1%, returned one hundred and thirty-nine surveys. Of those returned, one hundred and fourteen respondents (82.0%) indicated that the proposed tonnage fee increases would have no effect upon their business.
not determine the potential cost impact of its proposed tonnage fee increase, it conducted an economic impact survey. A survey, cover letter and a stamped envelope addressed to the department's Rules Coordinator was mailed (March 29, 2004) to all commercial feed licensees licensed by the department and pet food/specialty pet food registrants registered with the department (514 surveys). All licensees and registrants were given until April 26, 2004, to complete and return the survey. One survey was returned as "undeliverable". Respondents, representing a response rate of 27.1%, returned one hundred and thirty-nine surveys. Of those returned, one hundred and fourteen
not determine the potential cost impact of its proposed tonnage fee increase, it conducted an economic impact survey. A survey, cover letter and a stamped envelope addressed to the department's Rules Coordinator was mailed (March 29, 2004) to all commercial feed licensees licensed by the department and pet food/specialty pet food registrants registered with the department (514 surveys). All licensees and registrants were given until April 26, 2004, to complete and return the survey. One survey was returned as "undeliverable". Respondents, representing a response rate of 27.1%, returned one hundred and thirty-nine surveys. Of those returned, one hundred and fourteen respondents (82.0%) indicated that the proposed tonnage fee increases would have no effect upon their business.  The twenty-five respondents, who reported that the proposed tonnage fees would increase their cost of doing business, reported a total annual cost of compliance of \$7,986. Using information gathered on the survey, the department has calculated an estimated average cost of compliance per:  • Full-time employee of \$10.26;  • One hundred dollars of sales of \$00.00; and